

A suitable addition to the law libraries of universities in the US with departments specialising in IP law and to the libraries of law firms with serious involvement in international patent prosecution, the **CIPA Guide to the Patents Acts**, now under the editorship of **Paul Cole** and **Stephen Jones**, is intended to provide the most comprehensive single-volume reference work for patent law in the UK and under the EPC. Published in December 2011 it was compiled by a team of 34 professionals including patent attorneys, solicitors and members of the Bar individually selected for knowledge of and insight into the subjects to which they contribute.

As in previous editions it discusses the provisions of the UK Act section-by-section with the complete text of each section and of any relevant Patent Rules, Civil Procedure Rules and practice directions. An extensive commentary on the interpretation of each section by the UK-IPO and courts and on the interpretation of the corresponding provisions of the EPC by the Appeal Boards is provided, and in total over 3300 decisions of the UK IP Office and courts and of the EPO Appeal Boards are considered. Features of the updated edition include:

- A thoroughly revised discussion of patentable subject matter, evaluating the impact of the landmark decision of the EPO Enlarged Appeal in G3/08 *PRESIDENT'S REFERENCE* (software patentability) and numerous subsequent decisions of the UK IP Office, UK courts and the EPO Appeal Boards
- An updated discussion of novelty explaining landmark Court of Appeal decisions in *Gemstar v TV Guide*, *Leo Pharma v Sandoz* and *Dr Reddy's v Eli Lilly* (selection inventions) and numerous EPO Appeal Board decisions
- A substantially re-written review of inventive step, explaining decisions of the House of Lords in *Connor v Angiotech* and *Generics v Lundbeck* and landmark Court of Appeal decisions including *Schlumberger v Electromagnetic Geosciences*, *Napp v Ratiopharm*, *Virgin Atlantic v Premium Aircraft Interiors* and *Generics v Daiichi*; also a detailed review of the EPO's problem-solution analysis and in particular how it differs as between mere alternatives and advantageous alternatives
- A revised discussion on industrial applicability following the House of Lords decision in *Eli Lilly v Human Genome Sciences*
- An updated discussion of methods of treatment and diagnosis including the key decisions of the EPO Enlarged Appeal Board in G2/08 *ABBOTT RESPIRATORY/Dosage Regime* and G1/07 *MEDI-PHYSICS/Treatment by surgery*.
- A revised discussion of how biotechnological inventions are treated, explaining e.g. *MedImmune v Novartis*
- An updated review of Supplementary Protection Certificates, providing the latest version of Regulation (EC) 469/2009 and the latest UK and European decisions on SPCs
- The latest decisions on non-enablement including *CoreValve v Edwards Lifesciences*, *Ratiopharm v Alza*, *HTC Corp v Yozmot*, *Schlumberger v Electromagnetic Geosciences* and *Novartis v Johnson & Johnson* and numerous decisions of the EPO Appeal Board
- The latest opinions on infringement including *Virgin Atlantic v Delta* (kit of parts), *Medimmune v Novartis* (direct product of patented process), *Rambaxy v AstraZeneca* (use claims), *Grime v Scott* (contributory infringement) and *Schutz v Werit* (license to repair)

- An updated review of claim interpretation including key decisions in *Ancon v ACS Stainless Steel fixings*, *Dyson v Samsung*, *Medimmune v Novartis* and *Virgin Atlantic v Premium Aircraft Interiors*
- Key decisions on actionable groundless threats under the amended UK Act, including *Zeno Corp v BSM-Bionic* and *FNM Corp v Drammock*
- An explanation of the recently revised UK Patents Court procedures set out in CPR Part 63 and in the new Practice Direction together with the new procedure in the Patents County Court which deals with smaller claims.
- A review of significantly amended dispute handling procedures within the UK IP Office (s. 123)

Readers interested in acquiring the Guide can order copies online from the publishers [here](#).

“I shall continue to keep the book within easy reach. So should anyone else concerned with European or British patents.” **The Right Hon. Professor Sir Robin Jacob**

“The legal scholarship found in this book has an important role to play.” **The Hon. Randall Rader**, *Chief Judge, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit*